UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/815,085 | 03/31/2004 | Anthony Stephen Ferenc | 3993968-150413 | 7352 |
| | 7590 04/18/200 Morris & Arthur LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| ATTN: Intellectual Property Department 28th Floor 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215-6194 | | | JOHNSON, VICKY A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3682 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/18/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/815,085 | FERENC, ANTHONY STEPHEN | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Vicky A. Johnson | 3682 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properly period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 J | January 2008 | | | | |
| · | | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| | / | | | | | |
| ٥)ا | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Lx parte Quayre, 1999 O.D. 11, 4 | 00 0.0. 210. | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-14 and 17-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 10-14, and 17-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| · · | | | | | | |
| | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| | | 0.5 | | | | |
| • | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | Evaminor | | | |
| 10) | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receiven Nau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| 2) Notice (3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/815,085 Page 2

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 17, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsumoto (US 5,793,007).

Matsumoto discloses a parking brake actuator for a motor vehicle, said parking brake actuator comprising, in combination: a fixed support (24); a lever (20) pivotably connected (22) to said support for movement between brake-releasing and brake-engaging positions (col. 2 lines 14-33); a locking mechanism (38,42) adapted to releasably maintain said lever in said brake-engaging position; an electrical switch (56) having a blade (52) operable to indicate when said lever is out of said brake releasing position; and wherein said switch blade is secured directly to said fixed support (see Fig 4), wherein the switch is located near a mounting hole (see Fig 4) formed in the fixed support which receives a fastener (54) to secure the fixed support to the motor vehicle (see Fig 4), wherein the switch extends to the mounting hole to contact the fastener in the mounting hole (see Fig 4) to connect the switch to ground (col. 5 lines 57-64), wherein operation of the electrical switch opens an electric circuit including the fastener when the lever is in the brake releasing position and closes the electric circuit including the fastener when the lever in the brake engaging position (see Figs 3 and 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,085 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

Re claim 2, said fixed support forms a unitary mounting bracket for securing said switch blade to the fixed support (see Fig 4).

Re claims 10, 17, and 20, the switch is secured to the fixed support without mechanical fasteners (see Fig 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-7, 12-14, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto (US 5,793,007) in view of Schantz et al (US 4,230,919).

Matsumoto discloses a switch as described above, having a fixed support forming a mounting bracket for securing the switch blade to the fixed support, but does not disclose the mounting bracket made of plastic, forming a slot for receiving a portion of the switch blade to secure the switch blade to the fixed support.

Schantz et al teach the use of a switch having a mounting bracket (12) made of plastic (col. 2 lines 64-68), a terminal (16) in a slot (18), the mounting bracket forming a slot (85) for receiving a portion of the switch blade (78) to secure the switch blade to the fixed support (see Fig 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Matsumoto to include a switch as taught by Schantz et al in order to reduce cost (col. 1 line 41 - col. 2 line 6).

Re claims 4, 11, and 19, the method of forming the device (molding) is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight. See MPEP 2113.

Response to Arguments

Some further comments regarding the applicant's remarks are deemed appropriate.

With respect to the applicant's arguments, the examiner disagrees. The claimed structure is clearly shown.

The applicant's remarks are have been accorded due consideration, however, they are not deemed fully persuasive.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vicky A. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00a-3:30p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,085 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vicky A. Johnson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3682